

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172-2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100

Email: [pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Whatsapp No. 62848-20189



Sh. Gurinder Singh 9501212840

Village Kalaur,

Tehsil Bassi Pathana,

Fatehgarh Sahib

....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer

o/o Block Development and Panchayats Officer,

Bassi Pathana, Fatehgarh Sahib

First Appellate Authority

o/o District Development and Panchayats Officer,

Fatehgarh Sahib

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2792 of 2020

(Video Conference Proceedings)

Present:-Sh. Jasveer Kaur w/o Sh. Gurinder Singh, appellant.

Sh. Gurbinder Singh, Panchayat Officer, Bassi Pathana and Sh. Hem Raj, Tax Clerk on behalf of the respondents.

## ORDER

The RTI application is dated 14.1.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 28.2.2020 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 24.9.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

2. The appellant has sought the following information:-

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਪਿੰਡ ਕਲੇੜ ਤਹਿ. ਬਸੀ ਪਠਾਣਾ ਜਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਫਤਿਹਗੜ੍ਹ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਰਜਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸਾਧੂ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ ਕਲੇੜ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਗੁਰਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਚਰਨਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਅਤੇ ਗੁਰਮ ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਕਲੇੜ ਦੀ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਬੀ.ਡੀ.ਪੀ.ਓ ਬਸੀ ਪਠਾਣਾ ਨੂੰ ਕੀਤੀ ਸੀ। ਕਿ ਗੁਰਮ ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਕਲੇੜ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਗੁਰਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਚਰਨਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ ਕਲੇੜ ਨੂੰ ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਨੇ ਮਿਲੀ ਭੁਗਤ ਕਰਕੇ ਗਲੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਨਜਾਇਜ਼ ਕਬਜ਼ਾ ਕਰਵਾ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ।

1. ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਕਰਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਦੀ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ।
2. ਲਖਵਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਅਫਸਰ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਬਸੀ ਪਠਾਣਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਗੁਰਮ ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਨੂੰ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਆਜਰ ਹੋਣ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਕੋਈ ਪਹਿਲਾ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਨੋਟਿਸ ਜਾਂ ਕੋਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਹਿੱਤ ਲੈਟਰ ਜਾਰੀ ਕੀਤਾ ਹੋਵੇ। ਉਸਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਜਾਰੀ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ।
3. ਲਖਵਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਅਫਸਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮਿਤੀ 28-06-2019 ਨੂੰ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਕਰਨ ਸਮੇਂ ਕਿਹੜੇ ਕਿਹੜੇ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਉੱਥੇ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਸਨ। ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਆਂ ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਜਾਰੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ।
4. ਲਖਵਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਅਫਸਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਗੁਰਮ ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਕਲੇੜ ਨੂੰ ਕੁੱਲ ਕਿੰਨੇ ਨੋਟਿਸ ਦਿੱਤੇ। ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਸਾਰਿਆਂ ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਜਾਰੀ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ।

3. The representative of the respondents states that he has brought the complete information along with him and he handed over the same to the representative of the appellant during the course of hearing. After going through the same, she shows her satisfaction and states that she has no objection, if the case is closed.

4. According to the submissions made by the appellant, the Commission observes that no further action is required to be taken in this case. Therefore, the case is disposed of and closed.

Sd/

(Suresh Arora)

Dated: 2.2.2021

Chief Information Commissioner,  
Punjab.

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172-2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100

Email: [psic20@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic20@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Whatsapp No. 62848-20189



Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, 9464500405

Ward No. 18, Street No. 2, Kartar Nagar, Amloh Road,

Khanna -141401, District Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Deputy Commissioner,  
Mini Secretariat, Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer,  
Executive Officer,  
Municipal Committee,  
Khanna,  
District Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Commissioner,

Patiala Division, 1-A, Baradari Garden, Patiala.

..... Respondents

Appeal Case No. 886 of 2020

(Video Conference Proceedings)

Present:- None on behalf of the parties.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 27.12.2020.

2. The appellant has sought the following information:-

“1. Certified copy of all the Annexure III “Format for recommending eligible works by Member of Parliament” submitted by the Member of Parliament in last five years from today that is 13.1.2020 for the works to be carried out under the jurisdiction of Khanna through the Municipal Council, Khanna.

2. Certified copy of the complete file with noting's, affidavits, declarations submitted, any other correspondence (if made), estimates prepared and the copy of the DNIT/Notification of tender against the format submitted by the Member of Parliaments against their recommendations for getting the eligible works to be carried out within past five years from today (13.1.2020).”

3. An email has been received from the representative of the respondents vide which it has submitted that the complete information has been supplied to the appellant. The representative of the respondents has also sent the submissions made by the appellant that he has received the information and further requested to dispose of this case.

4. The reply regarding show cause notice issued to Sh. Charanjeet Singh, E.O. has already been received. After going through the facts of the case and the submissions made by Sh. Charanjeet Singh, EO, the show cause notice issued to him is hereby withdrawn.

5. According to the submissions made by the appellant, the Commission observes that no further action is required to be taken in this case. Therefore, the case is disposed of and closed.

Sd/

(Suresh Arora)

Chief Information Commissioner,  
Punjab.

Dated: 2.2.2021

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172-2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100

Email: [pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Whatsapp No. 62848-20189



Sh. Manpreet Singh Aulakh,  
s/o Sh. Davinder Singh,  
r/o Vill Kailpur, P.O. Dakha,  
District Ludhiana

....Appellant

Vs

Sh. Manohar Singh, 9988802911  
Public Information Officer-cum-  
Executive Officer,  
Nagar Council, Mullanpur Dakha,  
Ludhiana,

First Appellate Authority

o/o Deputy Director,

Local Bodies,

Mini Secretariat, Ludhiana.

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3746 of 2019

(Video Conference/phone/cisco webex Proceedings)

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

Sh. Manohar Singh, EO 9988802911, 7986115740 and Sh. Abhey Joshi,  
Accountant 8360659678 on behalf of the respondents.

## ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.12.2020.

2. The appellant is not present but he has sent the submissions through email, which are taken on record. However, the respondent-Public Information Officer states that the only issue remained pending in this case was to hand over the new draft amounting to Rs.5000.00 as compensation to the appellant, Sh. Manpreet Singh Aulakh, which has been handed over to him on 1.2.2021. Copy of letter written to the appellant vide No. 81 dated 22.1.2021 in this regard has also been received in the Commission, which is taken on record. In addition, the respondent-Public Information Officer states that more than 100 RTI applications are being dealt by the office pertaining to the appellant, Sh. Manpreet Singh Aulakh. Furthermore, the respondent-Public Information Officer also referred the decision of the Central Information Commission in Pradeep S. Ahluwalia Vs Delhi Tourism & Transport Development Corporation vide No. CIC/AD/A/2013/001046-SA and the relevant portion mentioned by him is reproduced below:-

*"25. Cases of disclosure of information to the repetitive applicants for their private purpose which promotes their private interest but not the public interest, would cause substantial harm to the legitimate aim of the Right to Information Act.*

*26. Once information is given, applicant shall not seek the same once again. If the applicant seeks information again and again, the PIO, the First Appellate Authority and the Commission would be forced to spend their time on this repeated application, and in the process the authorities would lose that much time to address the other RTI applications or performing their general duties in their public office. Repeated RTI application amounts to clogging the office of public authority and CPIO would be right in refusing the same with intimation. Because the Repeated RTI application has an effect of clogging the public offices, it would amount to obstructing the free flow of information to deserving and genuine RTI applicants, besides preventing the officers from performing their general duties attached to their office.*

*Commission shall record ABUSE, admonish ABUSER"*

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864101, Fax 0172-2864110 Helpline 0172-2864100

Email: [pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in) Visit us: [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

Whatsapp No. 62848-20189



Contd..p/2

Appeal Case No. 3746 of 2019

-2-

The representative of the respondents also states that the case was listed for hearing at Chandigarh and they are present along with the original record pertaining to the RTI application but the appellant is absent. He has also requested that the case may be closed and the show cause notice issued to Sh. Parvinder Singh and Sh. Vikramjeet Singh, EO may be filed as they have already filed their reply.

3. Keeping in view the submissions made by the respondents, no further directions can be given in this case. As far as the show cause notice issued to Sh. Parvinder Singh and Sh. Vikramjeet Singh, EO is concerned, the same is hereby withdrawn. Accordingly, the Commission observes that no further action is required to be taken in this case. Therefore, the case is disposed of and closed.

Dated: 2.2.2021

Sd/  
(Suresh Arora)  
Chief Information Commissioner,  
Punjab.